Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,327	KUCHEL, BERNHARD W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert G. Santos	3673	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert G. Santos.	(3)		
(2) <u>J. Steven Baughman</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 3 March 2005	Time: 3:15 p.m.		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 5, 6, 9, 10, 12 and 21			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Baughman and the examiner agreed to the changes to claims 5, 6, 9, 10, 12 and 21 as described in the examiner's amendment in order to place the application in full and proper condition for allowance.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Dort & Santa			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	